#### **E. Simonnet** 1 **, M. D. Chekroun** <sup>2</sup> **and M. Ghil** 3

<sup>1</sup>Institut Non-Linéaire de Nice, UMR 6618, CNRS-UNSA, Valbonne, France  ${}^{2}$ Environmental Research and Teaching Institute, École Normale Supérieure, Paris, France <sup>3</sup>Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences Dept. and IGPP, UCLA, Los Angeles, USA.

- What is the effect of stochastic (atmospheric?) perturbations on models of ENSO variability?
- **Aim:** Use of random dynamical system (RDS) theory to assess the dynamical effects of noise  $\rightarrow$  "PDF dynamics".

# A Nonlinear Stochastic Model of the El Niño–Southern Oscillation: Random Dynamical Systems and Predictability

# **1. Motivation**

- We have a model of the noise  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$  that is parametrized by time. The parametrization of realizations  $\omega$  is provided by a **driving system** θ which is an **ergodic one-parameter group**.
- The dynamics is viewed on a "phase space  $\times$  probability space,"  $X \times \Omega$ , called the **bundle**, and the cocycle property enables one to treat trajectories as *flows* on this bundle.
- A path of the stochastic process corresponds to a selection of points in each fiber of the resulting bundle. Fibers are "glued together" by noise. The cocycle, also called RDS, provides a fiber-by-fiber view of the dynamics.



## **2. Random Dynamical Systems (RDSs)**

Low-order, coupled tropical-atmosphere–ocean model of ENSO (Timmermann & Jin, *GRL*, 2002; hereafter **TJ model**). Three variables: thermocline depth anomaly  $h$ , and SSTs  $T_1$ and  $T_2$  in the western and eastern basin.

Figure 1: The bundle and the cocycle: the stochastic dynamics as a flow.

## **3. Random attractors and invariant measures**

- We are looking for measures  $\mu$  on  $\Omega \times X$  that are invariant w.r.t. the dynamics. Central to our approach is the concept of disintegration  $\mu_{\omega}$  of  $\mu$ . Mathematically, it is given by  $\mu(B) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_{\omega}(B_{\omega}) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$ , with  $B_{\omega}$  the intersection of a measurable set B of  $X \times \Omega$  with an  $\omega$ -fiber. Physically? Let's see.
- $\mu_{\omega}$  is numerically computable for each fiber. It is supported by a geometric object: the random attractor.
- The random attractor,  $A(\omega)$  in Fig. 2, involves pullback attraction: we look at the phase-space location at time t starting several experiments far enough in the past and *for the same realization*. Hence we assess the "attracting regime" at time t.
- The sample measure  $\mu_{\omega}$  evolves with time,  $\mu_{\omega} \mapsto \mu_{\theta(t)\omega}$ , and corresponds to the frozen statistics at time t: for each piece of  $A(\omega)$ , it gives the probability to end up on that piece.

The quantities are  $\tau$ , the wind stress anomalies, equatorial upwelling  $w = -\beta \tau / H_m$ , zonal advection  $u = \beta L \tau / 2$ , and subsurface temperature  $T_{sub}$ . Wind stress bursts are modeled as white noise  $\xi_t$  of variance  $\sigma$  and  $\epsilon$  measures the strength of the zonal advection. We refer to **TJ** for the other parameters.

**– RDS approach**: Pullback dynamics is relevant; **dynamical view of the statistics:**  $\mu_{\omega}$ . The probability to be in a particular location at time  $t$  is given

by the measure  $\mu_{\omega}$  supported by the random attractor (in red on Fig. 3).

Figure 3: Classical forward-in-time integration of the stochastic TJ model (left). The corresponding random attractor (right) with El Niño/La Niña phase-space areas.

• The random attractor of Fig. 3 corresponds to an El Niño episode: the maximum of  $\mu_{\omega}$  (white 'plus' sign) is located at low h and high  $T_2$ .





Figure 2: The curved arrow depicts the pullback attraction.

### **4. Application to an ENSO model**

#### • **Model**:

• Below are random attractors for two different noise levels and different values  $\epsilon$  of zonal advection.

$$
\dot{T}_1 = -\alpha (T_1 - T_r) - \frac{2\epsilon u}{L} (T_2 - T_1), \n\dot{T}_2 = -\alpha (T_2 - T_r) - \frac{w}{H_m} (T_2 - T_{sub}), \n\dot{h} = r(-h - bL\tau/2), \n\sigma = T_r - T_{\text{eff}} (1 - t - 1/(T - t))
$$

 $T_{sub}=T_r-\frac{Tr-T_{r0}}{2}$  $\frac{-T_{r0}}{2}[1-\tanh(H+h_2-z_0)/h^*]$  $\tau = \frac{a}{\beta}$  $\frac{a}{\beta}(T_1 - T_2)[\xi_t - 1].$ 

> • Horseshoes can be noise-excited even for  $\epsilon$ -values for which the deterministic dynamics exhibits an attracting fixed point, provided the amount of noise is sufficiently large.

#### • **Noise effects**:

**– Traditionnally**: noise effects on deterministic dynamics are studied by forward integration (in blue in Fig. 3). The system being nonautonomous, "forward-in-time" dynamics is ill-defined;

#### **static view of the statistics: PDF**.

• Statistics at time t given by  $\mu_{\theta(t)\omega}$  are evolving with time in an intricate way: they provide crucial information on extremes, and the most probable events!

• **TJ model**: the evolution of  $\mu_{\omega}$ 's maximum (white 'plus') provides a clear prediction of El Niño (high  $T_2$  and low h) and La Niña (low  $T_2$  and high h) episodes, for a given realization.



#### $\mu_{\omega}$ (in red) is in log-scale

• For high-dimensional ENSO models, approximate random attractors can be computed. A low-dimensional, physically relevant projection can be derived by using the **available potential energy** E of the tropical Pacific Ocean, and W, the **work** done on that basin by the winds.

• The random attractor and its measure can help design data assimilation procedures. They provide the exact location of the statistics of the stochastic model w.r.t. to a set of initial data in the past and for a given realization  $\omega$ .

# **5. Deterministic case: Shilnikov route to chaos**

• Complex deterministic dynamics: **Hopf bifurcation** and **Shilnikov horseshoes** associated with **multi-pulse homoclinic orbits**, as  $\epsilon$  varies. Interdecadal chaotic variability. Note the presence of windows of stability with one attracting limit cycle.

# **Power spectrum w.r.t.**  $\epsilon$ : deterministic *vs.* stochastic



Figure 4: Chaotic variability — interannual-to-interdecadal.

• Golden areas are most frequently visited (log-scale). The measures  $\mu_{\omega}$  are **nearly singular**.

# **6. Stochastic dynamics: Numerical results**

# **Random (Shilnikov) horseshoes**

#### $σ=0.005$   $σ=0.05$

**2**



**2**



### **7. Random attractor and predictability An episode in the random attractor's life for the TJ model**



Figure 6: Time series of the thermocline (blue) and the eastern temperature (**black**). The random attractors are computed at equal intervals, for each red section.

• In theory, slight changes in initial data result in very different locations at time t, due to *positive Lyapunov exponents*. Random attractor movies indicate that for the **TJ** model, the dependence on the initial data is weak.

• These statistics  $\mu_{\omega}$  are robust w.r.t. perturbations of the

• Random attractors give a clear geometric view of the dynamics

- 
- 
- 
- dynamics.

# **8. Concluding remarks and outlook**

- in the stochastic context.
- 
- 

#### **References**